



## **Q & A Document V1**

Q: Upon reviewing Attachment B, I noticed that it is worded for the retention of an "attorney". In addition, some items in the insurance requirements in the RFP PDF also address "attorney" requirements. Since this is an RFP for professional services specific to design, architecture, and engineering, my up-front questions are:

Are these just samples, or were the wrong attachments included?

A: Please find the correct Design Professional Services Contract attached, and kindly disregard the earlier version. This update has also been reflected on the County's website.

---

Q: In relation to the contract language (Exhibit B), will there be any allowances for negotiation on verbiage? One example in Exhibit B, section 13, is that architectural and engineering firms will not "defend". We can indemnify, hold harmless, etc., but "defending" is not something we do.

A: Per our County Counsel, this is non-negotiable. We cannot alter this verbiage in the contract.

---

Q: I saw the Siskiyou Integrated Wellness Center Project listed on Shasta Builders Exchange, and I was wondering if this project includes a solar requirement?

A: This project doesn't include solar requirements.

---

Q:

---